

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 23313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/381,334	11/18/1999	KARI VIRTANEN	846A.0017.U1 (US)	3837
29683 HARRINGTO	7590 06/21/2010 N & SMITH	EXAMINER		
	DRIVE, Suite 202	IQBAL, KHAWAR		
SHELTON, C	1 06484-6212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/381,334	VIRTANEN, KARI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KHAWAR IQBAL	2617	

	KHAWAR IQBAL	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3° C periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	replies: (1) an amendment, affidar al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed of the final rejection.	vit, or other evidence, we with 37 CFR 41.31; or with in one of the follow	which places the r (3) a Request wing time
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the maili b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH).	ng date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of eletermining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amoun hortened statutory period for reply ori	t of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the	
AMERIONIENTS . ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see NC v);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		,	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAUT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ill be entered and an e:	xplanation of
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail: See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 		n condition for allowan	ce because:
13. Other:	1. 1.5.55100/1 aper 140(a).		
/George Eng/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/K. I./ Examiner, Art Unit 261	7	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed in the 64-10 Remarks have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner has thoroughly reviewed applicant's arguments but firmly believes the cited references to reasonably and properly meet the claimed limitations. Applicant's primary argument was that "the home location register maintaining a subscriber-specific access parameter which indicates, independently of the address information, whether the mobile subscriber has access rights to the first network and/or the second network of the different type? Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. In paragraph 0025-0028, fig. 1, 2 and 4, Amin teaches that the home location register HLR 66, fig. 1 maintaining a subscriber-specific access parameter (fig. 2, 4, a profile associated with the end user device base on the subscriber indiction information MIN and ESN) which indicates, independently of the address information subscribed features (Roaming restriction (rrm list time specification), roaming characteristic, fig. 2, 4, whether the mobile subscriber has access rights to the first network (home network 10. fig. 1) and/or the second network (roaming network 10.4, fig. 1). Amin further teaches that the service request includes identification information (MIN), including an electronic serial number (ESN), associated with the end user device, and information indicative of the roaming area from which the request was initiated. A profile associated with the end user device (subscriber-specific access parameter is retrieved based on part of the identification information. The request is denied to the device (when the request within a roaming restriction time window identified in the profile for the roaming area. Preferably, the end user device has a mobile identification innumber.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See (In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

Thus the rejection of the claims in view of Amin et al and Forslow remain.